

Oral Health Status of Florida's Third Grade Children 2016-2017



Acknowledgements:

Authors: Louiza Saint-Hillien, MPH Abigail Holicky, MPH

Screening Planning and Design: Jennifer Wahby, MPH

Technical Assistance:

Association of State and Territorial Dental Directors (ASTDD) Christine Wood, RDH, BS Executive Director

Dr. Michael C. Manz, DDS, MPH, DrPH Epidemiologist and Consultant

Florida Dental Hygienists' Association (FDHA) Tami Miller, BS, CRDH Executive Director

Implementation and Screening:

Lori Brusoki, CRDH, BS Joleyn Carriveau, RDH, BS Danielle Driscoll, RDH, MHL Karen Hodge, RDH, MS Betty Kabel, RDH, BS Beryl Sue Kassoff-Correia, CRDH, BS Melissa Kincaid, RDH Patricia McGrath, RDH Monica Meyer, RDH Debbie Nastelli, MS, CRDH Kim Poon, RDH Beth Rucker, RDH Michele Ryan, CRDH, MEd Paula Schad, RDH Becky Smith, CRDH, EdD Lisa Świsher, RDH Cindy Wampler, CRDH, MS









A special thanks to volunteer dental hygiene students from the following schools: Eastern Florida State College, Florida State College of Jacksonville, Hillsborough Community College, Miami

Dade College, St. Petersburg College, State College of Florida Manatee-Sarasota, and Valencia College.

This project is supported in part by Florida's Title V Maternal and Child Block Grant.

Suggested Citation: Florida Department of Health Public Health Dental Program. (2018). The Oral Health Status of Florida's Third Grade Children 2016-2017.

The Public Health Dental Program: www.flhealth.gov/dental or 850-245-4333

Table of Contents

Executive Summary1
Key Findings1
Introduction
Methodology3
Basic Screening Survey3
Sampling Procedure3
Screening Methods4
Data Analysis5
Results6
Demographic Characteristics of Participating Children6
Oral Health Indicators7
National Status
Limitations
Recommendations15
References15
Appendices
Appendix A: Consent and Questionnaire Form for Parents17
Appendix B: Third Grade Oral Health Screening Form19
Appendix C: Screening Results Letter Sent to Parents20
Appendix D: Other Indicators from Parent Questionnaire
Chronic Conditions and/or Developmental Delays21
Children's Grades in School22
Last Dental Visit22
Toothache23
Reason for Last Dental Visit23
Emergency Room Visit24
Reason for Not Seeking Care24
Days of School Missed25
Days of Work Missed25

Executive Summary

During the 2016-2017 school year, the Florida Department of Health's Public Health Dental Program (PHDP) completed its second statewide oral health surveillance of Florida's third grade children. The "Florida 2016-2017 Third Grade Oral Health Screening Project" was conducted in 42 selected public elementary schools across 19 Florida counties and had an overall participation rate of 28.4%. Dental screenings were provided by contracted Florida Dental Hygienists' Association Registered Dental Hygienists (RDHs) following the Association of State and Territorial Dental Directors' Basic Screening Survey (BSS) protocols.

Key Findings:

- Approximately one in four children (25.1%) had untreated decay.
 - The prevalence of untreated decay was highest for non-Hispanic Black children (34.6%) and for children without any dental insurance (32.8%).
- Nearly half of children (45.5%) had dental caries (treated or untreated decay).
 - More than half of children from schools with the highest percent of students enrolled in free/reduced lunch had caries experience (52.2%).
 - Children reporting toothaches had the highest rate of dental caries experience (68.1%).
 - Over a third of children (40.1%) had at least one dental sealant.
 - The prevalence of dental sealants was highest for children from schools with less than 25% of students enrolled in free/reduced lunch (52.5%).
- Early dental treatment need among Florida's third grade population was 20.6%.
- Urgent dental treatment need among Florida's third grade population was 3.0%.
- Children covered with private dental insurance had the lowest rate of each oral indicator of need and the highest rate of dental sealants compared to children who had Medicaid or no dental insurance.

Florida's overall third grade population estimates are in alignment with the Healthy People 2020 goals related to the prevalence of untreated decay, dental caries, and dental sealants among 6-9 year old children (Table 1).

Table 1. Oral Health S	Status of Florida's Thi National Healthy Peop	rd Grade Population compared to le 2020 Goals
Oral Health Indicator	Florida's Status 2016-2017	National Target for 6-9 Year Olds based on Healthy People 2020 Goals ¹
Dental Caries Experience	45.5%	49.0%
Untreated Dental Decay	25.1%	25.9%
Dental Sealants	40.5%	28.1%

¹ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2015

Introduction

Oral health is essential to general health and well-being. There is a strong correlation between poor oral health status and other systemic diseases, such as diabetes, heart disease, respiratory disease, stroke, and preterm and low-weight births.² Tooth decay (dental caries) is a transmissible, infectious oral disease resulting from an imbalance of multiple risk factors and protective factors over time.³ Though the prevalence and severity of tooth decay has declined among school-aged children in recent years, it remains a significant problem in some populations, particularly among certain racial and ethnic groups and low-income children.⁴

Dental caries remains the most common chronic infectious disease among young children and adolescents in the United States; dental caries is five times more common than asthma.⁴ Nationally in 2011-2012, approximately 36.7% of children aged 2-8 years had dental caries in their primary teeth and 21.3% of children aged 6-11 had dental caries in their permanent teeth, with rates for Black and Hispanic children being higher than for White and Asian children.⁵ If dental decay is left untreated, it can cause pain and infection leading to problems with chewing, swallowing, speaking, and learning. These problems jeopardize children's physical growth, self-esteem, and capacity to socialize.²

Poor oral health is also associated with missing school and poor school performance. It is estimated that U.S. children miss more than 51 million school hours annually due to dental problems.⁶ Children with poor oral health are three times more likely to miss school and four times more likely to perform poorly when compared to their healthy counterparts.⁷ Additionally, parents miss on average 2.5 days from work per year due to their children's dental problems.⁸

A cost-effective way of preventing tooth decay are dental sealants. Dental sealants are thin protective coatings that adhere to the chewing surfaces of the back teeth (molars) and prevent the acid of leftover food particles from creating holes, or cavities, in the teeth.⁹ Dental sealants can prevent up to 80% of cavities and protect teeth for several years.¹⁰ While children with dental sealants have increased over time, low income children are 20% less likely to have them and are twice more likely to have untreated decay then high-income children.¹⁰ Barriers from receiving dental sealants or other dental care include the lack of access to dental services, dental care costs, and inadequate oral health literacy.²

Oral health data are needed for ongoing surveillance, establishing the burden of oral health disease, and informing statewide programmatic planning efforts. To address the need for state level oral health surveillance data, the Florida Department of Health's Public Health Dental Program (PHDP) has established a surveillance system for monitoring oral health status, risk factors, and access to dental services among various populations. The PHDP has completed surveillance projects on third grade children (2013-2014), Early Head Start and Head Start children (2014-2015) and older adults in congregate meal sites (2015-2016). This is Florida's second statewide oral health surveillance of Florida's third grade children. The following

² U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Oral Health Coordinating Committee, 2016

³ Heymann, H.O., 2014

⁴ Benjamin, R.M., 2010

⁵ Dye, B. A., 2015

⁶ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000

⁷ Jackson, S., 2011

⁸ Seirawan, H., 2012

⁹ Mark, A., 2016

¹⁰ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2016

sections of this report detail project specifics including the methodology, results, limitations, and recommendations.

Methodology

Basic Screening Survey

The Florida 2016-2017 Third Grade Oral Health Screening Project was based on the Basic Screening Survey (BSS) tool supported by the Association of State and Territorial Dental Directors (ASTDD). The primary purpose of the BSS tool is to provide state and local health jurisdictions with a consistent model for monitoring oral disease in a timely manner, at the lowest possible cost, with minimum burden on survey participants, and that will support comparisons within and between states.¹¹ The goal of the BSS is to obtain regional and statewide estimates of the oral health status in children.

The BSS is designed to capture information on the following dental indicators that are directly related to oral health status in children.¹²

- 1. Untreated Decay: screener can readily observe breakdown of the enamel surface
- Treated Decay: screener can observe previous treatment of decay such as amalgam and/or composite restorations, temporary restorations, crowns, teeth missing due to decay
- 3. Caries Experience: prevalence of untreated or treated decay
- 4. Dental Sealants on permanent molars: presence of partially and fully retained dental sealants
- 5. Urgency of Need for Dental Care: early dental care (needs to see a dentist within the next several weeks because of untreated decay or broken restorations) or urgent care (needs dental care within 24 to 48 hours because of signs and symptoms that include pain, infection, or swelling)

Sampling Procedure

A representative statewide sample of Florida's public elementary schools was used to identify third grade children. Enrollment data by school for the 2016-2017 academic year was provided by the Florida Department of Education (DOE). A Stratified Probability Proportional to Size sample (PPS) design was used to select the representative statewide sample of schools and the enrollment data from DOE were used to construct the sample frame. The list of schools was sorted by region and then by school free/reduced lunch percentage (the percentage of students in each school who receive free or reduced lunch) within each region to achieve geographic and socio-economic status (SES) stratification.

With a random start, the sampling interval was then repeatedly added with selections made based on where the sampling intervals fell in cumulative enrollment, for a total of 42 selections. Schools were contacted and consented to participate in the survey. Schools that refused to participate were replaced with a random PPS school selection from the same interval.

¹¹ ASTDD, 2011 ¹² ASTDD, 2008 The regional designations used for the Florida 2016-2017 Third Grade Oral Health Screening Project and the counties selected to participate are shown in Figure 1.





The number of public elementary schools selected for each region are listed below:

- Northwest: 2
- Northeast: 5
- Central: 9
- West Coast: 12
- Atlantic Coast: 4
- South: 10

Screening Methods

After obtaining permission from the selected schools, parents of third grade children were given the opportunity for individual participation in the project. Consent forms (Appendix A), data collection forms (Appendix B), and screening results letters (Appendix C) were created based on BSS guidelines.¹³ Consent forms were sent to the participating schools and distributed to the children. Parents were encouraged to complete and return the consent form questionnaire even if they did not want their child to participate in the screening. Only those children returning a positive parental consent form with a parental or guardian signature were screened.

Florida licensed dental hygienists were trained in BSS guidelines and provided screenings to participating children following procedures to prevent the spread of disease as set by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for this type of oral health screening. Dental gloves and masks were worn, and the dental hygienists used a disposable mirror for each child, which was thrown away after each screening. The screening was not intended to take the place of a regular dental checkup or an exam by a dentist. There were 17 hygienists who collected information on the presence of untreated decay, caries experience, dental sealants, and treatment urgency. The screenings and data were collected at the child level in accordance with BSS guidance, not the tooth level.

Maintaining screening and data collection consistency across calibrated screeners was the foundation of the project. The BSS provided a framework to collect data in a consistent manner. Data were collected in accordance with all the guidelines and policies defined in the BSS for the third grade population. This was a cross-sectional (looking at a population at a point in time) and descriptive (intended to determine estimates of oral health status for a defined population) survey. Data that were collected on screening day (via paper records) were entered into the CDC program "Epi-Info" which provides data exports into Microsoft Excel. The PHDP staff validated 100% of the screening data by comparing the paper records to the electronic data.

Data Analysis

Data analysis was completed utilizing Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) version 9.3, a highlevel data analysis tool. Outcome data were weighted and adjusted for non-response based upon the Stratified Probability Proportional to Size sample design with a 95% Confidence Interval (CI).

Demographic indicators including age, race/ethnicity, gender, and dental insurance status of the participating children were obtained from the survey questions on the parental consent form. Participation in the free or reduced school lunch program, as reported on the consent form, was used to determine the child's family income status. Due to low participation of children among American Indian/Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander, and multi-racial groups, their responses were combined with those of unknown race/ethnicity into an "Other" category for analysis and reporting.

Results

A total of 4,427 children were enrolled in third grade at the 42 participating schools. Of the enrolled third grade children, 1,685 (38.1%) returned their consent forms. Of the returned consent forms, 1,331 (79.0%) children positively consented but ultimately 1,259 (74.7%) children participated and were screened. The project had an overall participation rate of 28.4% (1,259 of 4,427) and a positive consent rate of 79.0% (1,331 of 1,685).

Demographic Characteristics of Participating Children

The breakdown of demographic characteristics counts of the participating children is shown in Table 2. Note: these percentages are not weighted.

Table 2.Demographic Characteriin Florida's 2016-2017 Third Grade	Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Children Participatingin Florida's 2016-2017 Third Grade Oral Health Screening Project					
Characteristic	N (%)					
Total Participants	1,259					
Age (Years)						
7	2 (0.2%)					
8	395 (31.4%)					
9	762 (60.5%)					
10/11	99 (7.9%)					
Missing	1 (0.1%)					
Gender						
Male	587 (46.6%)					
Female	667 (53.0%)					
Missing	5 (0.4%)					
Race/Ethnicity						
Non-Hispanic White	427 (33.9%)					
Non-Hispanic Black	276 (21.9%)					
Hispanic	372 (29.5%)					
Asian	51 (4.1%)					
Other	108 (8.6%)					
Missing	25 (2.0%)					
Eligible for Free/Reduced Lunch						
Yes	746 (59.3%)					
No	347 (27.6%)					
Don't Know/Don't Remember	100 (7.9%)					
Missing	66 (5.2%)					
Dental Insurance Coverage						
Private Insurance	362 (28.8%)					
Medicaid	489 (38.8%)					
No Insurance	173 (13.7%)					
Other	11 (0.9%)					
Don't Know/Don't Remember	26 (2.1%)					
Missina	198 (15.7%)					

The majority of the participating children were 9 years old (60.5%), female (53.0%), non-Hispanic White (33.9%), eligible for free/reduced lunch (59.3%), and had Medicaid coverage (38.8%). The average age of participating children was 8.77 years (SD: \pm 0.61).

Oral Health Indicators

The screening data were weighted to achieve regional and state-level estimates of the various indicators. The data shown in the rest of the report represent the entire third grade population attending public schools in Florida. Prevalence estimates are provided along with 95% Confidence Intervals (C.I.).



In Florida, 25.1% of third graders had untreated decay, 45.5% had caries experience, 40.5% had at least one dental sealant, 20.6% had an early dental care need, and 3.0% had an urgent dental care need (Figure 2). These oral health indicators did not vary by gender in Florida.

Among age groups, children aged 10 and 11 had the highest prevalence of untreated decay (31.7%) and the lowest prevalence of dental sealants (32.6%), however, the opposite was seen with children aged 9, who had the highest prevalence of dental sealants and the lowest prevalence of untreated decay (Table 3).

Prevalence estimates and 95% Confidence Intervals are provided for all oral health indicators by age in Table 3.

Table 3.	Table 3. Prevalence (95% Confidence Interval) of the Oral Health Indicators, by Age							
Age	Caries Experience	Untreated Decay	Dental Sealants	Early Need for Dental Care	Urgent Need for Dental Care			
7-8	45.2%	27.6%	37.9%	22.3%	3.6%			
	(38.6, 51.8)	(20.6, 34.6)	(30.0, 45.8)	(15.2, 29.4)	(1.3, 5.9)			
9	45.6%	22.8%	43.0%	18.9%	2.7%			
	(40.6, 50.6)	(19.1, 26.5)	(36.0, 50.0)	(15.9, 21.8)	(1.2, 4.1)			
10-11	45.7%	31.7%	32.6%	25.4%	2.9%			
	(34.9, 56.6)	(22.5, 40.8)	(23.5, 41.7)	(17.1, 33.7)	(0.0, 6.4)			

There were differences observed by race/ethnicity among Florida's third grade population, most notably among untreated decay and dental sealant prevalence estimates.



Non-Hispanic Black children had the highest percentage of untreated decay, with 34.6%, when compared to children of other racial and ethnic groups. This group also had the lowest percentage of dental sealants (Figure 3).

Prevalence estimates and 95% Confidence Intervals are provided for all oral health indicators by race/ethnicity in Table 4.

Table 4.	Table 4. Prevalence (95% Confidence Interval) of the Oral Health Indicators, by Race/Ethnicity							
Race/Ethnicity Caries Untreated Dental Early Need for Urgent Nee Experience Decay Sealants Dental Care Dental Care								
Non-Hispanic	44.2%	23.0%	43.7%	18.5%	1.5%			
White	(36.5, 51.9)	(15.2, 30.8)	(36.4, 51.0)	(11.5, 25.6)	(0.0, 3.1)			
Non-Hispanic	48.6%	34.6%	33.3%	28.3%	4.4%			
Black	(40.0, 57.4)	40.0, 57.4) (24.7, 44.5) (25.2, 4		(17.9, 38.7)	(2.1, 6.7)			
Hispanic	44.6%	20.6%	42.6%	17.3%	3.3%			
	(36.5, 52.7)	(14.3, 26.8)	(32.1, 53.1)	(12.2, 22.4)	(0.6, 6.0)			
Non-Hispanic	47.7%	30.5%	36.2%	22.5%	3.9%			
Asian	(30.1, 65.4)	(15.7, 45.4)	(21.8, 50.7)	(5.2, 39.9)	(0.0, 8.1)			
Other	39.5%	15.8%	46.3%	12.7%	3.7%			
	(28.6, 49.5)	(7.7, 23.9)	(34.0, 58.6)	(7.0,18.4)	(0.0, 7.5)			

In order to observe regional estimates and assess if geographic disparities exist, the survey sample was stratified by the Florida Department of Health into six regions (Table 5). The Northwest region had the highest rates of untreated decay (53.3%), caries experience (66.1%), and early need for dental care (38.6%) in the state, but also had the highest rate of dental sealants (57.4%). The Northeast region had the lowest rate of caries experience (32.8%) and the Atlantic Coast region had the lowest rate of untreated decay (19.0%).

Prevalence estimates and 95% Confidence Intervals are provided for all oral health indicators by region in Table 5.

Table 5. Preva	Table 5. Prevalence (95% Confidence Interval) of the Oral Health Indicators, by Region Region Caries Untreated Dental Early Need for Urgent Need Atlantic Coast 41.5% 19.0% 44.0% 16.0% 4.5% (30.0, 53.0) (9.4, 28.5) (27.6, 60.5) (10.5, 20.9) (0.0, 10.6) Central 43.0% 25.2% 23.9% 16.2% 0.9% (34.3, 51.8) (15.8, 34.6) (12.1, 35.7) (4.9, 27.4) (0.0, 2.0) Northeast 32.8% 19.9% 46.0% 16.1% 3.8% (23.6, 42.0) (9.3, 30.5) (24.6, 67.5) (8.2, 24.1) (0.0, 9.0) Northwest 66.1% 53.3% 57.4% 38.6% 8.8%				
			,		
Region	Caries	Untreated	Dental	Early Need for	Urgent Need
	Experience	Decay	Sealants	Dental Care	for Dental Care
Atlantic Coast	41.5%	19.0%	44.0%	16.0%	4.5%
	(30.0, 53.0)	(9.4, 28.5)	(27.6, 60.5)	(10.5, 20.9)	(0.0, 10.6)
Central	43.0%	25.2%	23.9%	16.2%	0.9%
	(34.3, 51.8)	(15.8, 34.6)	(12.1, 35.7)	(4.9, 27.4)	(0.0, 2.0)
Northeast	32.8%	19.9%	46.0%	16.1%	3.8%
	(23.6, 42.0)	(9.3, 30.5)	(24.6, 67.5)	(8.2, 24.1)	(0.0, 9.0)
Northwest	66.1%	53.3%	57.4%	38.6%	8.8%
	(49.3, 82.9)	(31.2, 75.5)	(33.2, 81.7)	(7.7, 69.5)	(7.5, 10.0)
South	36.3%	23.3%	44.5%	19.8%	3.8%
	(28.1, 44.5)	(17.3, 29.3)	(32.5, 56.5)	(16.3, 23.4)	(0.1, 7.5)
West Coast	58.3%	26.2%	43.2%	25.0%	2.0%
	(50.1, 66.5)	(19.4, 32.9)	(30.8, 55.6)	(20.3, 29.7)	(0.0, 4.1)

The percentage of students receiving free and/or reduced lunch (displayed as FRL percentage in Table 6) at the selected schools was used as a proxy for individual student income and poverty status. These variables are highly correlated with poor oral health outcomes.



There was a direct relationship observed between untreated decay and FRL percentage (Figure 4); as the FRL percentage increased, so did the percentage of untreated decay and other oral health indicators of need. The opposite relationship was seen for dental sealants; the percentage of dental sealants was highest in the FRL <25% category (52.5%) and lowest in the FRL 50-75% category (37.2%).

Prevalence estimates and 95% Confidence Intervals for all of the oral health indicators by the percentage of students receiving free/reduced lunch are provided in Table 6.

Table 6. Pre	Table 6. Prevalence (95% Confidence Interval) of the Oral Health Indicators, by Free/Reduced Lunch Percentage of School									
Free/Reduced	Free/Reduced Caries Untreated Dental Early Need for Urgent Need									
Percentage	Lypenence	Decay	Sealants	Dental Care						
FRL >75%	52.2%	30.0%	40.5%	23.8%	4.4%					
Lowest income	(46.3, 58.0)	(24.5, 35.5)	(31.9, 49.0)	(20.2, 27.4)	(1.2, 7.6)					
FRL 50-75%	45.6%	28.4%	37.2%	24.9%	2.8%					
	(36.7, 54.5)	(19.5, 37.3)	(24.0, 50.5)	(16.0, 33.9)	(1.4, 4.2)					
FRL 25-50%	39.3%	16.5%	41.7%	12.9%	1.3%					
	(28.2, 50.5)	(13.3, 19.7)	(35.9, 47.4)	(9.8, 16.1)	(0.2, 2.4)					
FRL <25%	24.2%	5.3%	52.5%	2.4%	No data due to					
Highest income	(15.6, 32.8)	(0.8, 9.9)	(18.9, 86.0)	(0.0, 6.5)	small sample					

Dental insurance status can affect access to care and overall oral health (Figure 5). Children whose dental services were covered by private dental insurance had the lowest rate of untreated decay and dental caries experience, and the highest rate of dental sealants (Table 7). Children who had no insurance had the highest rate of untreated decay (32.8%) and the lowest rate of dental sealants (35.0%).



Prevalence estimates and 95% Confidence Intervals for all of the oral health indicators by health insurance status are provided in Table 7.

Table 7. Prevalence (95% Confidence Interval) of the Oral Health Indicators, by Dental Insurance status										
Dental Insurance Status	Dental InsuranceCariesUntreatedDentalEarly Need forUrgent NeedStatusExperienceDecaySealantsDental Carefor Dental Care									
Private	34.8%	16.4%	46.5%	13.1%	2.4%					
Insurance	(27.8, 41.7)	(12.0, 20.8)	(36.2, 56.8)	(8.6, 17.6)	(0.8, 4.0)					
Medicaid	49.3%	25.9%	39.8%	22.3%	2.2%					
	(43.5, 55.2)	(20.0, 31.8)	(32.6, 46.9)	(15.7, 28.9)	(0.6, 3.8)					
No Insurance	47.0%	32.8%	35.0%	24.5%	5.6%					
	(37.4, 56.7)	(22.0, 43.5)	(25.7, 44.4)	(15.0, 34.0)	(2.1, 9.0)					

The presence of toothaches, another oral health condition collected on the consent form, was shown to exacerbate each oral health indicator (Figure 6). Children who experienced toothaches had a much higher prevalence of untreated decay (46.9%) and caries experience (68.1%) compared to children who did not experience toothaches. They also had a lower rate of dental sealants (32.8%) than their peers who did not experience toothaches (42.3%).



Prevalence estimates and 95% Confidence Intervals for all of the oral health indicators by the toothaches are provided in Table 8.

Table 8. Prevalence (95% Confidence Interval) of the Oral Health Indicators, by Toothache						
Toothache StatusCariesUntreated DecayDental SealantsEarly Need for 						
No	39.5%	19.8%	42.3%	17.1%	2.1%	
Toothache	(34.8, 44.3)	(16.5, 23.2)	(36.0, 48.5)	(13.6, 20.6)	(0.7, 3.4)	
Toothache	68.1%	46.9%	32.8%	34.6%	6.7%	
	(55.8, 80.3)	(32.8, 60.9)	(21.7, 43.9)	(17.9, 51.4)	(3.2, 10.2)	

National Status

Other states across the nation have utilized the BSS methodology to assess the oral health status of their third grade children. CDC collects this information via the State Oral Health Survey (OHS).¹⁴

State-level estimates of various oral health status indicators are provided in Figures 7 through 9. It is important to note that not all states have completed a BSS of their third grade population and thus national estimates using the BSS methodology are not available. In order to enhance comparability between states, results from the individual state BSS were only included if they were conducted within the past six years (from 2012 forward). Florida's 2013-2014 estimates were updated to reflect data from the most current 2016-2017 project.

¹⁴ "Oral Health Data," 2015

Overall, Florida ranked 6th for the lowest percent of third graders with caries experience among the 28 participating states (Figure 7). A lower percentage of caries experience translates to a better oral health status. The Healthy People 2020 goal is that only 49.0% of children aged 6-9 years old have caries experience; Florida is meeting this goal with 45.5% of Florida's third graders having caries experience.



Overall, Florida ranked 8th lowest for the percent of third graders with at least one dental sealant among the 28 participating states (Figure 8). Dental sealants are a preventive service proven to reduce decay, thus the goal is to increase this percentage. Only 40.5% of Florida third graders had a dental sealant; however, Florida is still meeting the Healthy People 2020 goal of 28.1% of children aged 6-9 years old with at least one dental sealant.



Lastly, Florida is ranked the 6th highest state for the percentage of third graders with untreated decay (25.1%) among the 28 participating states (Figure 9). As untreated decay can cause pain, swelling, and infection, the goal is to reduce this oral health condition. Florida is just meeting the Healthy People 2020 Goal of 25.9% of children aged 6-9 years with untreated decay.



Limitations

There are several limitations to the information presented from this survey. First, these screenings were conducted without the use of radiographs (x-rays), therefore, the findings may differ from those observed and diagnosed by clinicians. Second, this survey was conducted only on public school children and may not be representative of all third grade children in Florida. Lastly, the screeners are encouraged to be conservative, thus, the results represented here may be an underrepresentation of the true oral health status of Florida's third grade children.

Recommendations

The state of Florida works to make continued progress to improve access to preventive dental care for children in Florida. Continued collaborative partnerships with school-based dental programs to share information on evidence-based prevention and early intervention practices facilitates the promotion of oral disease prevention efforts (dental sealants) starting in school-aged children.

Additional opportunities for improving the oral health status of Florida's third grade children include:

- Evaluate, address, and overcome barriers that exist in promoting dental sealant services for school age children, specifically for children from minority race/ethnic groups and low-income families.
- Increase the dental workforce providing school-based dental services, including cost effective dental sealants.
- Encourage schoolteacher and parent involvement in developing a culturally and linguistically appropriate oral health literacy campaign for school age children.
- Increase the dissemination of proper oral care information and resources to children and parents.
- Promote the benefits of fluoride and the consumption of fluoridated tap water to reduce tooth decay and strengthen teeth.
- Continue oral health surveillance activities for school-age children and track progress in the reduction of oral health disparities.

References

Association of State and Territorial Dental Directors (ASTDD). (2008). Basic Screening Surveys: An Approach to Monitoring Community Oral Health: Preschool and School Children.

Association of State and Territorial Dental Directors (ASTDD). (2011). The Basic Screening Survey: A Tool for Oral Health Surveillance not Research. Retrieved from: http://www.astdd.org/docs/bss-what-is-oral-health-surveillance-4-26-2011.pdf

Benjamin, R. M. (2010). Oral Health: The Silent Epidemic. Public Health Reports, 125(2): 158-159.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2015). Oral Health Data. Retrieved from: http://www.cdc.gov/oralhealthdata/index.html

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2016, October 18). Dental Sealants Prevent Cavities. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/vitalsigns/dental-sealants/index.html

Dye, B. A., Thornton-Evans, G., Li, X., & Iafolla, T. J. (2015). Dental Caries and Sealant Prevalence in Children and Adolescents in the United States, 2011–2012. NCHS data brief, no 191. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

Gift HC, R. S. (1992). The social impact of dental problems and visits. American Journal of Pblic Health, 82(12):1663-1668.

Heymann, H. O. (2014). Sturdevant's art & science of operative dentistry. Elsevier health Sciences.

Jackson SL, V. W. (2011). Impact of poor oral health on children's school attendance and performance. American Journal of Public Health, 101(10): 1900-1906.

Mark, A. (2016). Dental sealants. The Journal of the American Dental Association, 147(8), 692.

Seirawan H, F. S. (2012). The impact of oral health on the academic performance of disadvantaged children. American Journal of Public Health, 102(9): 1729-34.

US Department of Health and Human Services. (2000). Oral Health in America: A Report of the Surgeon General-- Executive Summary . Rockville, MD: US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research, National Institutes of Health.

US Department of Health and Human Services. (2015, November). Healthy People 2020: Oral Health. Retrieved from: http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/oral-health/objectives

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Oral Health Coordinating Committee. (2016). U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Oral Health Strategic Framework, 2014– 2017. Public Health Reports, 131(2), 242–257.

Appendices Appendix A: Consent and Questionnaire Form for Parents

Mission:

To protect, promote & improve the health of all people in Florida through integrated state, county & community efforts.



Rick Scott Governor

Celeste Philip, MD, MPH Surgeon General and Secretary

Vision: To be the Healthiest State in the Nation

2017 Third Grade Oral Health Screening Parent Consent Form and Questionnaire

Please complete this form and return it to your child's teacher tomorrow. Thank you.

	Child's Name: Child's Age:
	Child's Gender: Male Female Unspecified
	Yes, I give permission for my child's mouth to be screened.
	No, I do not give permission for my child's mouth to be screened.
	Signature of Parent or Guardian: Date:
	The purpose of this screening is to collect data. You will receive a screening results form for use by a "dentist at a prompt subsequent examination." Please note: "diagnosis of carles, soft tissue disease, oral cancer, temporomandibular joint disease (TMJ), and dentofacial malocclusions can only be completed by a dentist in the context of delivering a comprehensive dental examination," in accordance with Section 466.0235, Florida Statutes.
a	Please answer the following 12 questions to help us learn more about your child's dental care. Your inswers will be reported in summary only; individual responses will not be shared. If you do not want to nswer the questions, your child's mouth can still be screened. Please disregard the numbers next to the answer choices as they are for the screener's use only.
1.	Which of the following best describes your child? Select all that apply. White Black/African American Hispanic/Latino Asian American Indian/Alaska Native Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Other Other Hispanic/Latino
2.	Does your child have a history of any chronic conditions and/or developmental delays? Select all that apply. Asthma ADHD Cancer Diabetes Obesity Other:
3.	Is your child eligible for the free or reduced price lunch program? Check one. I No (1) I Yes (2) I Don't know/don't remember (3)
4.	During the past 12 months, how would you describe your child's grades in school? Check one. Mostly A's (1) Mostly B's (2) Mostly C's (3) Mostly D's (4) Mostly F's (5) None of these grades (6) Don't know/don't remember (7)
5.	How long has it been since your child last visited a dentist? Please include dentists such as orthodontists, oral surgeons, and all other dental specialists, as well as dental hygienists. Check one.

Florida Department of Health Public Health Dental Program 4052 Bald Cypress Way, Bin A-14 • Tallahassee, FL 32399-1721 PHONE: 850/245-4333 • FAX: 850/414-7552 www.fihealth.gov/dental https://youtu.be/mbwTus8VSPQ



PHAB Accredited Health Department Public Health Accreditation Board

Mission: To protect, promote & improve the health of all people in Florida through integrated state, county & community efforts.



Rick Scott Governor

Celeste Philip, MD, MPH Surgeon General and Secretary

			Vision: To be the Her	athiest State in the Nation
6.	During the past Check one.	6 months, did your o	child have a tootha	ache more than once when biting or chewing?
	□ No (1)	□Yes	(2)	Don't know/don't remember (3)
7.	What was the n Went in for cl Was called in Something w Went for trea	hain reason that you heckup, examination by the dentist for ct as wrong, bothering tment of a condition on't remember (5)	r child last visited a, or cleaning (1) neckup, examinati or hurting (3) that dentist discor	a dentist? Check one. on, or cleaning (2) vered at earlier check-up or examination (4)
3.	During the past oral or dental re	12 months, did your lated issues? Checl 2 Yes (2)	child visit the em k one.	ergency department (or emergency room) because of any know/don't remember (3)
9.	During the past needed it? Che Could not affe Dentist did no Not a serious Didn't know v Other (9)	12 months, what wa ck one. ord it (1) t take Medicaid/insu enough problem (5) where to go (7)	us the main reason urance (3)	 your child could not get dental care when he or she No insurance (2) Difficulty in getting appointment (4) No way to get there (transportation) (6) Speak a different language than dentist (8) Don't know/don't remember (10)
10.	During the past not include days 0 Days (1) 0 Don't Know/D	12 months, how ma s missed for routine 1 Day (2) 2 D on't Remember (7)	ny days of school oral health care (c ays (3)	did your child miss for oral health related problems? Do leanings, checkups, etc.). Check one. ys (4)
11.	During the past health related p checkups, etc.) 0 Days (1)	12 months, how ma roblems? Do not inc Check one. 1 Day (2) 2 D e (does not work) (7	ny days of work d lude days missed ays (3)	id you or a family member miss for your child's oral for your child's routine oral health care (cleanings, ys (4)
12.	Do you have an surgical)? Inclu- programs like M	y kind of insurance of de dental insurance Medicaid. Select all t	that pays for some obtained through hat apply.	or all of your child's DENTAL CARE (not medical or employment or purchased directly, as well as government

THANK YOU FOR PARTICIPATING IN THE "2017 Third Grade Oral Health Screening Project!"

Florida Department of Health Public Health Dental Program 4052 Bald Cypress Way, Bin A-14 - Talahassee, FL 32399-1721 PHONE: 850/245-4333 - FAX: 850/414-7552 www.fihealth.gov/dental https://youtu.be/mbwTus8VSPQ



Appendix B: Third Grade Oral Health Screening Form

Mission: To protect, promote & improve the health of all people in Florida through integrated state, county & community efforts.



Rick Scott Governor

Celeste Philip, MD, MPH Surgeon General and Secretary I

Vision: To be the Healthiest State in the Nation 2017 Third Grade Oral Health Screening Form

Screen Date:	School Code:	Screener's Initials:	Age:	
	Control Canada Canada Canada Canada Canada Pera no Canada	incisor 7 1/2 mo. incisor 9 mo. 18 mo. the 14 mo. molar 24 mo. molar 24 mo. Eruption. molar 24 mo. Eruption. incisor 7 mo. incisor 6 mo. Upper Capid Second Incisor Capid Second Incisor Third molar Second Incisor Capid Second Incisor Third molar Second Incisor Second Incisor Capid Second Incisor Second Incisor	Shedding 7 1/2 yr. 8 yr 10 1/2 yr. 10 1/2 yr. 10 1/2 yr. 10 1/2 yr. Shedding 11 yr. 10 yr. 9 1/2 yr. 7 yr. 6 yr. Erception 7 8 yr. 89 yr. 14 12 yr. 10 1/2 yr. 6 7 yr. 13 13 yr. 15 21 yr.	
Primary Untreated Decay:		Permanent Untreated	Decay:	
0=No untreated decay		0=No untreated deca	ay	
1=Yes untreated decay		1=Yes untreated dec	сау	
Primary Treated Decay:		Permanent Treated De	ecay:	
0=No treated decay		0=No treated decay		
1=Yes treated decay		1=Yes treated decay	,	
Sealants on Permanent Molars:		Treatment Urgency:		
0=No sealants		0=No obvious proble	em	
		□1=Early dental care		
		2=Urgent dental care	e	
Comments (if needed, not require	ed):	8		

Florida Department of Health

Public Health Dental Program 4052 Bald Cypress Way, Bin A-14 • Tallshassee, FL 32399-1701 PHONE: 850/245-4333 • FAX: 850/414-7552 www.fihealth.gov/dental https://youtu.be/mbwTus8VSPQ



PHAB Accredited Health Department Public Health Accreditation Board

Appendix C: Screening Results Letter Sent to Parents

Mission: To protect, promote & improve the health of all people in Florida through integrated state, county & community efforts.



Rick Scott Governor

Celeste Philip, MD, MPH Surgeon General and Secretary

Vision: To be the Healthiest State in the Nation

Third Grade Oral Health Screening Results

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

Dear:

As part of the Third Grade Oral Health Screening Project, your child's teeth and mouth were screened today. No x-rays were taken and the screening does not replace an in-office dental examination by your child's dentist. The results of the screening indicate that:

Your child appears to have no obvious dental problems but should continue to have routine examinations by their dentist.

Your child has a tooth, or teeth, which should be evaluated by their dentist to determine if treatment is needed.

Your child has a tooth, or teeth, which appear to need immediate care and you should contact their dentist as soon as possible for a complete evaluation.

If your child does not have a dentist or you need help with arranging dental care for your child, please visit http://www.floridahealth.gov/dental/resources to locate your county's dental resource list.

Florida Department of Health Public Health Dental Program 4052 Bald Cypress Way, Bin A-14 • Tallahassee, FL 32399-1721 PHONE: 850/245-4333 • FAX: 850/414-7552 www.fihealth.gov/dental https://youtu.be/mbwTus8VSPQ



Accredited Health Department PHAB Public Health Accreditation Board

Appendix D: Other Indicators from Parent Questionnaire

The following questions were asked on the parent questionnaire and consent form for the Florida Third Grade Oral Health Screening Project (Appendix A). While supplemental to the BSS, these questions provide additional information about the current oral health status and oral health history of the third grade population. These graphs include all submitted consent forms, regardless of a child's screening status. These data are weighted.

Chronic Conditions and/or Developmental Delays

Question: Does your child have a history of any chronic conditions and/or developmental delays?

Chronic Conditions and/or Developmental Delays among Florida's Third Grade Children, 2016-2017		
Condition	Percent (95% C.I.)	
Asthma	11.6% (7.0, 16.2)	
Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)	10.2% (7.5, 12.9)	
Cancer	0.4% (0.1, 0.8)	
Diabetes	0.4% (0.0, 0.8)	
Obesity	0.6% (0.2, 1.1)	
Special Health Care Needs	0.8% (0.3, 1.3)	
Other Condition	4.3% (2.8, 5.8)	
No Condition	55.7% (51.9, 59.6)	
Don't Know/ Don't Remember	1.4% (0.8, 2.1)	

Children's Grades in School



Question: During the past 12 months, how would you describe your child's grades in school?

Last Dental Visit

Question: How long has it been since your child last visited a dentist? Please include dentists such as orthodontists, oral surgeons, and all other dental specialists, as well as dental hygienists.



Toothache

Question: During the past six months, did your child have a toothache more than once when biting or chewing?



Reason for Last Dental Visit

Question: What was the main reason that your child last visited a dentist?



Emergency Room Visit

Question: During the past 12 months, did your child visit the emergency department (or emergency room) because of any oral or dental related issues?



Reason for Not Seeking Care

Question: During the past 12 months, what was the main reason your child could not get dental care when he or she needed it?

Main Reason for Not Seeking Care among Florida's Third Grade Children, 2016-2017		
Main Reason	Percent (95% C.I.)	
Could not afford it	4.7% (3.2, 6.1)	
No insurance	5.1% (3.7, 6.5)	
Dentist did not accept Medicaid/health insurance	4.3% (3.1, 5.5)	
Difficulty in getting appointment	2.5% (1.6, 3.4)	
Not a serious enough problem	5.0% (3.9, 6.1)	
No way to get there (transportation)	1.0% (0.5, 1.4)	
Didn't know where to go	2.1% (1.4, 2.9)	
Speak a different language than dentist	0.1% (0.0, 0.2)	
Other	15.8% (10.5, 21.0)	
Don't know/Don't Remember	7.5% (6.0, 9.0)	

Days of School Missed

Question: During the past 12 months, how many days of school did your child miss for oral health related problems, not including days missed for routine oral health care (cleaning, checkup, etc.)?

Days of School Missed among Florida's Third Grade Children, 2016-2017		
Days of School	Percent (95% C.I.)	
0 day	65.5% (62.1, 68.9)	
1 or more days	7.9% (6.1, 9.7)	
Don't Know/Don't Remember	5.5% (3.0, 8.0)	

Days of Work Missed

Question: During the past 12 months, how many days of work did you or a family member miss for your child's oral health related problems, not including days missed for your child's routine oral health care (cleanings, checkup, etc.)?

Days of Work Missed by Family Member among Florida's Third Grade Children, 2016-2017		
Days of Work	Percent (95% C.I.)	
0 day	67.2% (63.7, 70.8)	
1 or more days	5.7% (4.1, 7.3)	
Don't Know/Don't Remember	3.2% (2.3, 4.1)	